The transient atmospheric response to a reduction of sea-ice cover in the Barents and Kara seas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal:</th>
<th>QJRMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript ID</td>
<td>QJ-16-0250.R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiley - Manuscript type:</td>
<td>Research Article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted by the Author:</td>
<td>15-Feb-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete List of Authors:</td>
<td>Ruggieri, Paolo; University of L'Aquila, Physical and Chemical Sciences Kucharski, Fred; Abdus Salam ICTP, Earth System Physics Section; King Abdulaziz University, Meteorology, Centre of Excellence for Climate Change Research Buizza, Roberto; ECMWF, Research Ambaum, Maarten; University of Reading, Meteorology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keywords:</td>
<td>sea-ice reduction, Troposphere-Stratosphere coupling, North Atlantic Oscillation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The transient atmospheric response to a reduction of sea-ice cover in the Barents and Kara seas

P. Ruggieri,a F. Kucharski,b R. Buizza,c M.H.P. Ambaumd

aDepartment of Physical and Chemical Sciences/CETEMPS, University of L’Aquila, Italy
bEarth System Physics Section, Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy and Center of Excellence for Climate Change Research/Department of Meteorology, King Abdullah University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
cEuropean Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK
dDepartment of Meteorology, University of Reading, UK

*Correspondence to: Paolo Ruggieri, Dept. of Physical and Chemical Sciences/CETEMPS, University of L’Aquila, via Vetoio, 67100 L’Aquila, Italy. E-mail: paolo.ruggieri@aquila.infn.it

The observed reduction of Arctic sea-ice has drawn a lot of interest for its potential impact on mid-latitude weather variability. One of the outstanding challenges is to achieve a deeper understanding of the dynamical processes involved in this mechanism. To progress in this area, we have designed and performed an experiment with an intermediate complexity atmospheric model. The experiment shows a transient atmospheric response to a surface diabatic heating in the Barents and Kara seas leading to an anomalous circulation first locally, then over the polar region and finally over the Euro-Atlantic sector. A hypothesis that explains the mechanisms for the propagation of the signal is put forward. The discussion of this hypothesis provides an insight into the nature of the link between sea-ice forcing and the modes of internal variability of the atmosphere. We demonstrate that after removal of sea ice in the Barents and Kara seas, first the linear atmospheric response dominates and is confined in the proximity of the heating area, then a large-scale response, associated also to eddy-feedback, is found and finally anomalies reach the lower-stratosphere and show a hemispheric pattern in the troposphere. These results identify the drivers of the tropospheric connection between sea-ice variability and the North Atlantic Oscillation and highlight the role of the lower stratosphere.
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1. Introduction

The impact of the variability of sea-ice cover in the Arctic is a topic widely debated in scientific literature. Some recent studies have outlined the complexity of the interaction between sea-ice and atmosphere (e.g. Vihma 2014; Cohen et al. 2014; Barnes 2013; Overland and Wang 2010).

One of the challenges raised by these studies is to understand the physical and dynamical processes that lead to the establishment of a physical link with mid-latitude weather. More specifically, one crucial aspect of this link is to quantify how forcings related to sea-ice variability interact with the internal variability of the atmosphere, for example the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). Specifically designed sensitivity experiments can help us understanding and quantifying this link.

Quantifying sources of complexity and mechanisms for the propagation of the signal from the Arctic to the midlatitudes is the focus of recent studies (Overland et al. 2015; Sellevold et al. 2016). A tropospheric connection between sea-ice and the NAO has been found by several studies (García-Serrano et al. 2015; Petoukhov and Semenov 2010; Wu and Zhang 2010; Honda et al. 2009; Deser et al. 2007; Alexander et al. 2004). In particular, Deser et al. (2007) performed an experiment aimed at analyzing the transient atmospheric response to Sea Surface Temperatures and Sea Ice anomalies in the North Atlantic. They showed how a fast (within a few weeks) response to sea-ice changes in the North Atlantic and the Barents and Kara (B-K) seas projects onto the negative phase of the NAO and is driven by tropospheric eddy feedbacks. They encouraged researchers to perform additional experiments with different forcing patterns and atmospheric models to better understand the time scale and the amplitude of the response. Petoukhov and Semenov (2010) and Semenov and Latif (2015) found that different regimes of tropospheric response are associated with variations of sea-ice cover in the Barents and Kara (B-K) seas, and they find a strong non-linearity with respect to the amount of sea-ice removed. Nonetheless for a wide range of values of sea-ice cover, the response to sea-ice removal is mainly a negative phase of the Arctic Oscillation.

On the other hand, some studies highlighted that sea-ice variability can also have a significant impact on the stratosphere, raising the question of how much of the previous link is explained by intrinsically tropospheric processes (see e.g. Kim et al. 2014; Peings and Magnusdottir 2014; Sun et al. 2015; Ruggieri et al. 2016). García-Serrano et al. (2016) have demonstrated a variety of lagged teleconnections between sea-ice reduction and the NAO, and they find a preferred stratospheric pathway with a lag of 1 month.

The aim of this study is to analyse the transient atmospheric response to a reduction of sea-ice cover in the Barents and Kara seas in mid winter, using an intermediate complexity climate model. More specifically, we aim to understand how changes (with respect to climatology) in the B-K region affect the large-scale circulation over the North Atlantic sector on an intraseasonal time scale.

In figure 1 we show features of the late-winter, atmospheric circulation associated with the recent decline of sea-ice cover in the B-K seas. Figure 1a shows the time series of monthly mean geopotential height (Z) anomalies in some key regions of the atmosphere. This kind of temporal evolution has been described also by Nakamura et al. (2015). The late winter atmospheric conditions indicate a near-surface warming of the Arctic polar cap, which is coincident with a warming in the polar stratosphere (see figure 1b). The anomaly in the troposphere over the North Atlantic is thus coincident with a signal in the lower-stratosphere. This feature has been identified also by Sellevold et al. (2016). The high-latitude warming is coincident with circulation anomalies in the midlatitudes. In figure 1c, we show the geopotential height anomaly at 300 hPa (Z300) in February. The positive anomaly over B-K and over the North Atlantic is one of the major features associated with Arctic warming, and it has been found both in observations (Kim et al. 2014) and in model experiments (see e.g. Pedersen et al. 2016). The corresponding, low-level temperature pattern, which has been called Warm-Arctic Cold-Continents (WACC), is shown in figure 1d. The link between this pattern and many aspects of Arctic warming has been discussed by Cohen et al. (2014) and Overland et al. (2011). In this study we investigate how the local, dynamical response can propagate signal from the B-K region to the midlatitudes, in particular over the North Atlantic sector.
Understanding how the two regions are interlinked is key to be able to design models capable to reproduce the interaction, and hopefully exploit predictable signals linked to the B-K sea-ice cover variability, when trying to predict large-scale weather variability over the Euro-Atlantic sector. To achieve this, we have designed two sensitivity experiments that should help us explain the main features of figure 1. These experiments include two 100-member ensemble forecasts that have been analysed up to 60 days. In one of the two ensembles, sea-ice cover in the B-K seas is reduced. This highly idealised setup is used to understand the transient evolution of the atmospheric response to sea-ice reduction in the B-K seas, with a focus on the mechanisms driving a tropospheric response and a lower-stratospheric response.

After this Introduction, in section 2 we describe the methodology and the experimental setup used in this study. Then in section 3 we show the results from these experiments, and we discuss the main mechanisms involved in the transient evolution shown in figure 1. Finally, in section 4 we discuss how the results of this study can help understand the winter mid-latitude response to sea-ice variability, focusing on the link with the circulation in the North Atlantic sector, and is section 5 we summarise our results.

2. Methodology

To investigate the questions raised in the Introduction, we use a simplified model, the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Atmospheric General Circulation Model (ICTP AGCM, version 41). The ICTP AGCM is an intermediate complexity atmospheric model, with eight vertical layers and a triangular truncation of horizontal spectral fields at total wave number 30 (T30L8; see documentation and verification web-page: http://users.ictp.it/~kucharski/speedynet.html). It is a hydrostatic, $\sigma$-coordinate, spectral transform model in the vorticity-divergence form described by Bourke (1974), with semi-implicit treatment of gravity waves. The parametrised processes include short-wave and long-wave radiation, large-scale condensation, convection, surface fluxes of momentum, heat and moisture and vertical diffusion. Land and ice temperature anomalies are determined by a simple, one-layer thermodynamic model. A detailed description of the model can be found in Kucharski et al. (2013) and Molteni (2003).

The model has been used to investigate simple troposphere-stratosphere interactions and a discussion around the suitability of the model is provided by Herceg-Bulić et al. (2017), thus showing that it is capable to capture some key features of the troposphere-stratosphere interaction, despite the low top. The transient eddy heat and momentum fluxes climatology of the model is presented in figure S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information. A discussion on the suitability of the model to reproduce realistic transient eddy feedbacks can be found in Abid et al. (2015). Examples of the North Atlantic storm tracks response to surface forcings in the model can be found in Kucharski and Molteni (2003) and in Herceg-Bulić and Kucharski (2014).

To assess the transient evolution of the response we run an ensemble of 100 members starting from January 1st, with initial conditions defined by a continuous, 100-year long run. In other words, the 100 initial conditions correspond to the first of January of each year in the continuous run. From this 100 initial conditions, we performed a reference (CTL) ensemble of integrations with climatological sea-ice cover and a perturbed (PRT) ensemble where sea-ice cover has been reduced to 10% of the climatological value over the area of the B-K seas (70N-80N, 30E-75E). The sea-ice reduction is maintained up to mid-February. We also performed an experiment where the same sea-ice reduction is maintained only for the first two weeks of integration (PRT0). Since results of PRT0 are qualitatively in agreement with the first experiment, only results of PRT are presented in the main body of this article. Some results from PRT0 are discussed when they are relevant, and are reported in the Supporting Information (see figures S3,S4 and S5). A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in figure 2, that shows the time series of the fraction of sea-ice removed in the PRT experiment (solid line) and the difference of surface temperature between the PRT and the CTL (black dots). The reduction of sea-ice induces an increase of the surface temperature (see figure 2) and a subsequent modification of the surface heat fluxes. Positive values of these heat fluxes cover the area where sea ice has been removed, while smaller negative values are found in the surroundings (see figure S3c), and this pattern has been found also by previous studies (see Ruggieri et al. 2016; Sorokina et al. 2016). The net effect is a warming from the surface to the atmosphere, by both latent and...
sensible heat fluxes (not shown).

The temperature in the grid boxes that are partially covered by sea-ice is calculated as weighted average of the temperature over ice-free parts, \( T_{\text{ice}} = -1.8 \, ^\circ\text{C} \), and the temperature over the ice-covered parts, \( T_{\text{ice}} \), which is calculated from the slab ice model employing energy balance. Thus:

\[
T = cT_{\text{ice}} + (1 - c)T_{\text{freez}}
\]

(1)

where \( c \) is the ice concentration of the grid cell. Since in winter the temperature over the parts covered by ice is typically far below freezing, the temperature perturbations induced by an ice removal are positive.

Data of geopotential height (Z) at 500 and 30 hPa, used in figure 1, are obtained form Era-Interim, the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011). The field of Z is extracted six-hourly, on a 1° × 1° longitude-latitude grid.

Data of sea ice cover are obtained from the HadISST dataset (see Rayner et al. 2003). Low ice years are selected as the 8 years with smaller sea ice cover in winter (DJF) in the area of the B-K seas (70N-80N, 30E-75E).

3. Results from the sensitivity experiments

Figure 3 gives an overview of the tropospheric response averaged in February (i.e. the second month in the simulation). The zonal wind anomalies and the upper-level geopotential height pattern resemble the negative phase of the Northern Annular Mode (NAM), with an easterly anomaly over Scandinavia and Western Siberia. The temperature anomalies at 850 hPa show a warming over the polar cap, mostly downstream, and a cooling over Siberia and North America. These features have been identified by authors who have studied the links between Arctic warming and mid-latitude weather (see e.g. Outten and Esau 2012; Nakamura et al. 2015; Jaiser et al. 2016; Ruggieri et al. 2016). Anomalous zonal wind and Z projecting onto the pattern found in figure 3 are detectable up to day 60, though varying significantly in magnitude (not shown).

Hence, first, in section 3.1, we describe in details the temporal evolution of the atmospheric response. Then, in sections 3.2 and 3.3, we will present and discuss a hypothesis for the relevant physical processes involved.

3.1. Regimes of tropospheric response

From figure 4 and figure 5, which shows the zonal wind at 300 hPa, and transient meridional heat fluxes at 850 hPa, we can see that:

- Days 1-20 (figures 4a,d and 5a) - During this first period, the signal is shallow, confined vertically in the lower troposphere and geographically in the heating area. The change in the local circulation is a cyclonic anomaly at 925 hPa and an anticyclonic anomaly at the higher levels (not shown). The zonal wind change is consistent with the Z field and the role of low-level transient eddies is confined in the region of anomalous heating.

- Days 21-40 (figures 4b,d and 5b) - During this second period, the low-level warming expands to cover the whole polar cap, with an intensification in the vicinity of the heating area (not shown). The Z at 300 hPa shows an annular structure with one peak over the North Atlantic and one peak over B-K. The tropospheric warming in the polar region is still shallow, but a comparable increase of temperature is detected in the upper troposphere and the lower stratosphere. The change of the upper-level zonal wind is now large scale, it exhibits the signature of the negative phase of the NAO and it shows a dipolar anomaly in the longitudinal sector of B-K, which does not resemble the zonal wind adjustment to the local synoptic response found in the first 20 days. The wind anomalies are larger in the proximity of the heating area. Low level transient eddy heat fluxes are now stronger over the heating area, and interestingly they show a reduction of transient eddy activity in the region typically associated with the North Atlantic storm tracks.

- Days 41-60 (figures 4c,d and 5b) - The 300 hPa zonal wind anomalies are mostly confined in the latitudinal band between 40N and 60N, and over the North Atlantic. The transient heat fluxes at 850 hPa are now nearly zero over the heating area; conversely, the negative heat fluxes are intensified over the North Atlantic. The signature of a...
negative NAO is now coincident with a slower zonal wind in the stratospheric polar cap.

Looking at the temporal evolution of the lower- and upper-troposphere response, two observations can be made: firstly, the initial, shallow and baroclinic response, which dominates for two weeks, is followed by a deep, barotropic response which is found both over the B-K seas and the North Atlantic. Secondly, this larger-scale response, which reaches the upper-troposphere, turns then into a hemispheric, NAM-like anomalous circulation.

The linear response of the atmosphere to a diabatic heating has been analysed by Hoskins and Karoly (1981). For a high-latitude, shallow, near-surface heating, the features of the linear response are a low at low levels, vorticity decreasing with height and a positive, shallow temperature anomaly over the heating. This response, that is dominant in the first 3 weeks of simulation, has been robustly linked with sea ice reduction by previous works (see e.g. Deser et al. 2007), and it is not further analysed in this study.

The transition to a deep and large-scale response to sea-ice reduction is likely to be of uncertain interpretation, and its robustness is undermined by model-dependence (Barnes and Screen 2015). In the next section we focus on this deep response, then we provide arguments to explain how this response in the upper troposphere can modify the stratospheric circulation.

3.2. Large-scale response mechanism

Figure 4 showed that the most relevant changes in the zonally averaged circulation are detectable after 4-6 weeks, and these changes affect also the upper-troposphere and in the lower-stratosphere. Previous studies (see e.g. Nishii et al. 2011; Takaya and Nakamura 2008) suggested that an anticyclonic circulation over the B-K seas in late autumn and early winter affects the mid-winter stratospheric circulation, weakening the intensity of the westerly zonal wind. As documented by Deser et al. (2007), in mid-winter, the linear and non-linear response to sea-ice reduction interact destructively, resulting in a zero near-surface anomaly. They also show that, in their experiment, a negative NAO pattern is detected after 2-3 weeks. A point worth noting is that they change sea-ice also in the North Atlantic sector (Labrador sea), while we reduce sea-ice only over the B-K seas. These results show that a similar response can be obtained removing sea-ice only over the B-K seas, suggesting that a non-local mechanism propagates the signal upstream.

Ruggieri et al. (2016) discussed the changes of the upper-tropospheric wave pattern associated to low sea ice and linked them with the intensity of the polar vortex one month ahead.

The modification of the upper-tropospheric wavelike pattern is attributable to the barotropic stage of the local response over B-K.

In fact, both the ridge over B-K and Scandinavia and the negative NAO would, in principle, lead to modifications of the zonally asymmetric circulation, resulting in a net positive meridional eddy heat flux. Considering these previous studies, the transition identified in the previous section can be described in terms of dynamical processes, namely: the transition from a direct and linear response, to an indirect and non-linear response (which is also non-local) and the subsequent modification of the upper-level wave pattern.

The reduction of the intensity of the transient heat fluxes in the Atlantic region (figure 5b,c) coincides with the spreading of the warming from the heating area to the whole polar cap. The wavelike response to the heating triggers the zonal wind anomalies found after 20 days, when transient fluxes, which peak in the third stage, amplify the zonal wind anomaly.

Figure 6a shows the transient evolution of the meridional eddy heat flux at 100 hPa and the integrated heat flux (defined as in Hinssen and Ambaum 2010). The heat flux is a measure of the upward propagation of planetary waves, and the integral shown in figure 6a is proportional to minus the potential vorticity anomaly at 30 hPa. In the first 3 weeks the signal is near zero, while at the end of January and up to the second week of February positive peaks are found. Then, in mid-February, a suppression of the heat flux persists for about two weeks. The integrated line (i.e. the dashed line in figure 6a) shows that the anomalous heat flux induces changes in the high-latitude, lower stratosphere that last for a couple of weeks and are particularly strong in mid-February. To understand how the anomalous tropospheric circulation induces changes in the upper-level heat flux, in figure...
6b we decompose the flux as following:

\[
\{ T_p^a v_p^* \} - \{ T_c^c v_c^* \} = \{ T_s^a v_s^a \} + \{ T_c^c v_c^c \} + \{ T_s^s v_s^s \} + \{ T_c^c v_c^c \}
\]

where \( p, c \) and \( a \) indicate respectively the PRT run, the CTL run and their difference, the asterisk denotes a deviation from the zonal mean, the prime denotes a deviation from a temporal mean, quantities with overbars are temporally averaged and the parentheses denote a zonal and meridional average between 40°N and 80°N. In figure 6b, the red line shows how the first term in the RHS of equation 2 evolves in time. It indicates that a linear interaction of anomalous zonally asymmetric temperatures with the climatological zonally asymmetric circulation, is the main positive contribution to the heat flux. It persists from the last week of January to the end of February. The blue line in figure 6b shows how the second term of the RHS of equation 2 evolves. Note that it gives a negative contribution after few weeks, when the nonlinear terms (dotted line) become relevant. Figure 7a shows anomalous and climatological fields of the 100 hPa zonally asymmetric temperature and Z, averaged from day 10 to day 50. Figure 7a suggests that the meridional transport of warm air in the north Pacific and cool air over B-K explains the positive heat flux detected in figure 6b. Figure 7b indicates that this late-winter pattern is also associated to sea-ice reduction in reanalysis, although smaller in magnitude in the model. The NAM-like anomaly in February is the main feature of the zonally averaged response, nonetheless, focusing on specific areas, other features have been identified. The peak of Z anomaly in the surroundings of the heating area occurs randomly, mostly between day 15 and day 50 (not shown). It is followed systematically by the NAO-like response with a lag of few days. With this in mind, the behaviour of the atmospheric response after the linear regime is likely to be flow dependent and, particularly over the North Atlantic sector, driven by a combination of dynamical processes. Thus, to further investigate this point, in figure 8a we show the regression of Z anomaly on the 100 hPa eddy heat flux anomaly. Z is averaged over the same areas introduced in figure 1a. A rapid increase of the Z in the polar cap stratosphere is observed from lag -3 to lag 1, then it slowly decreases over 3 weeks. A positive signal over the B-K area and over the North Atlantic in the upper-troposphere is found up to lag -4. Interestingly, the signal over the North Atlantic is found also at positive lags, after 2 weeks.

Both the first and the second peak of the Z anomaly over the North Atlantic are related to a shift of the jet and a reduction of transient eddy heat fluxes in the region upstream of the North Atlantic storm tracks (not shown). In the next section we discuss the differences between these two peaks focusing on the role of the lower stratosphere.

3.3. The role of the lower stratosphere

The tropospheric response to the forcing over the North Atlantic has two peaks, one is found along with the ridge over B-K, one is found after the hemispheric, upper-level response in the polar cap. Figure 8 also suggests that the two peaks of Z response over the North Atlantic are associated to different spatial patterns and to different stages of the response. This finding is confirmed by figure 8b,c, which shows the stereographic projection of the Z anomaly discussed in figure 8a at two selected lags, namely -5/0 and +17/22. The main feature detected in figure 8b is the ridge over North Atlantic and Scandinavia, which is consistent with the intrinsically tropospheric response that has been associated to sea-ice reduction by previous studies (see e.g. Nakamura et al. 2015; Kug et al. 2015; King et al. 2016; Ruggieri et al. 2016). Figure 8c shows a rather different pattern, with a negative NAO signal. This result is found also in PRT0 (see figures S4 and S5), where the sea-ice forcing is nearly zero for positive lags. This finding indicates a delayed response. The hydrostatic and geostrophic adjustment of the Arctic troposphere to potential vorticity anomalies in the lower-stratosphere described by Ambaum and Hoskins (2002) provides a theoretical framework for the interpretation of these results. Figure 6 has shown how the response modifies the uppertropospheric wave pattern, leading to a net warm advection into the pole by means of the zonally asymmetric circulation. We have also demonstrated how this turns into a drop of the polar cap potential vorticity predicted by eddy heat flux. Following these considerations, it can be argued that an adjustment of the troposphere to the anomalous potential vorticity in the stratospheric levels is a possible driver of the secondary peak.
found in figure 8a. The tropopause height anomaly in the polar cap (not shown) is indeed consistent with the above conjecture.

The low top of the atmosphere in the model and the simplicity of the stratospheric levels are a caveat for the implications that these results can have on the sea-ice NAO connection. Magnitude and time scales of the secondary peak found in figure 8 are likely to be significantly affected by detailed features of the model.

The combination of the patterns shown in figure 8b,c is displayed in figure 9. These panels are obtained taking an average in the two intervals defined in figure 8 and averaging them. Interestingly they can be compared with observed patterns presented in figure 1.

The last two and other crucial aspects of the experiment are discussed in the next section, where we give a summary of major results providing a unified view of the temporal evolution of the response and where we discuss how these results can be used to understand the role of sea-ice in seasonal predictability.

4. Discussion

The response of the atmosphere to temporally-confined sea-ice reduction in the Barents and Kara seas has been explained in terms of the transient response to enhanced surface turbulent heat fluxes associated to warmer surface temperatures. Two 100-member ensembles were run with different sea-ice cover during the first two weeks (PRT0) and during the first six weeks (PRT).

The forcing induces a warming of the polar cap (with maximum amplitude in the vicinity of the heating area), a southward shift of the low-level jet over the North Atlantic and Pacific oceans and a geopotential height anomaly that projects on the negative phase of the NAM (figure 1). A detailed analysis suggested that the response can be decomposed into three distinct components:

1) a fast, linear and shallow response in the heating area
2) a deeper, indirect response associated to a wave-train over the heating area and a negative phase of the NAO
3) a slower response, with a negative NAO signal associated to a perturbation in the stratosphere.

Several studies (e.g. Kug et al. 2015; Grassi et al. 2013; Honda et al. 2009) suggested that, the intrinsically tropospheric response is triggered by a stationary Rossby wave resonating in the surroundings of the heating area. Subsequently, the response is shaped by the internal variability of the atmosphere into the pattern of the negative phase of the NAO and transient fluxes have a major role in this stage (see figure 5b). As soon as the deep response establishes itself in the troposphere, a modification of the upper-tropospheric wave pattern produces an intensified eddy heat flux, which leads, after few days, to a drop of potential vorticity in the lower-stratosphere. The modified wave pattern consists of an intensified dipole of zonally asymmetric temperatures whose net effect is a stronger warm advection into the pole. This finding is consistent with the results of Takaya and Nakamura (2008).

Sun et al. (2015) and Kim et al. (2014) also found the upper-level, intensified heat flux associated to sea-ice reduction in the Barents and Kara seas explained by modifications of a large wavenumber Z pattern in the upper-troposphere. Our analysis suggests that the modified zonally asymmetric temperature field has a central role, while the modified geopotential height field tends to reduce the heat flux.

Our experimental setup, allows to investigate the transient evolution of the response separating the component of the anomalous circulation in the troposphere linked directly to the sea ice forcing from a slower, atmospheric feedback. Results also show that a step function of sea-ice reduction is transmitted to the stratosphere resulting in a pulsed drop of potential vorticity in the polar cap. This impulse is found on average after about 6 weeks and is confined in a range of 15 days. At this stage, a negative NAO over the North Atlantic is detected, associated also to a stratospheric influence (figures 4, 5, 8). Although the timing of this response is linked to the experimental setup and to model features, the associated dynamics appears robust.

The combination of the fast and slow response can explain the WACC pattern, which has been linked to a reduction of sea ice (see e.g Cohen et al. 2014; Overland et al. 2011). Figure 9 shows that the WACC near-surface temperature pattern can be obtained combining the two regimes of the response and that it is explained by the combination of the local circulation changes and a slower, large-scale adjustment linked with the perturbation in the stratosphere.

Several studies (e.g. Kim et al. 2014; Scaife et al. 2014; Jaiser et al. 2016) considered the seasonal cycle of the atmospheric response to a seasonal cycle of sea-ice anomalies.
Recently, the attention of this class of studies has been drawn by a late winter response resembling the negative phase of the NAM which can be driven by the stratosphere. The present study suggests how to link the previously identified late winter circulation response to sea-ice perturbations. Sea ice reduction in other areas of the globe can have an opposite impact on the NAO (e.g. Kvamstø et al. 2004), a fact that raises the question to what factors control the dynamical link between a high-latitude, near surface heating and mid-latitude storm tracks. Realistic sea-ice anomalies persist throughout the winter season (see Kern et al. 2010), a fact that points out the need of a longer persistence of sea-ice forcing in a model experiment. The magnitude of the anomalies induced by sea-ice forcing is small if compared with natural variability of the atmosphere, and also smaller than the correspondent pattern found in observation. Although, in our setup, neglecting autumn sea-ice can be a major cause of this discrepancy, the fact that model experiments tend to underestimate the response to sea-ice anomalies when compared to observations is not new, and it has been documented also in Scaife et al. (2014) and Kim et al. (2014). Moreover, a greater agreement with observed patterns is found if the response is regressed and separated into two components, as shown in figures 8 and 9. These facts are supportive of a state-dependent interaction between the mid-latitude circulation and high latitude surface heat fluxes.

Results from this study highlight the separation between a direct and a delayed response, and between the tropospheric and the stratospheric component of the delayed response. One aspect that has been recently regarded as a challenge in modelling this polar-midlatitude interaction, is the disagreement found in the response of state-of-the-art models to Arctic warming (Barnes and Screen 2015). The interpretation of the response described in this study suggests that the mean position and the variability of the tropospheric jet, along with the interaction of climatological and anomalous planetary waves are potential key factors.

5. Conclusion

This study analysed the atmospheric response to sea ice reduction in mid-winter in the Barents and Kara seas in an intermediate complexity model. The model response shows the major, observed features of the atmospheric circulation associated to the recent sea-ice loss. The analysis of the associated dynamics gave insight into the mechanisms that can propagate the influence of sea-ice reduction to the mid-latitudes. Key features are the interaction of the local response with midlatitude jet and the modification of the upper-tropospheric wave pattern, that leads to a perturbation of the lower-stratosphere. More detailed investigations of the nature of the model response suggested that the contribution of sea-ice forcing to the observed patterns is likely to be state-dependent.
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Figure 1. a) Time series of the area-weighted, monthly mean geopotential height anomaly for low-ice years in Era-Interim from December to April at 300 hPa over the Barents and Kara seas (red line, 70N-85N, 30E-90E), over the North Atlantic (blue line, 65N-85N, 60W-0W), and at 30 hPa over the polar cap (black line, 60N-90N). Dashed lines indicate the interquartile range of the distributions. b) Latitude-pressure cross section for the zonal mean of U (contours) and T (colors) anomaly in February. c) Z300 anomaly in February and d) T850 anomaly in February, the green, solid line indicates statistical significance at 90% confidence level.
Figure 2. Time series of the relative magnitude of the sea-ice perturbation (see text for details) and of the surface temperature difference (PRT minus CTL) in the region 30E-90E 65N-85N.
Figure 3. a) Geopotential height (shading, m) and zonal wind (contours, drawn every 1 m/s) at 300 hPa difference (PRT-CTL) for days 31-60 of simulation (i.e., February). b) As in a) but for temperature (shading, K) and zonal wind (contours, drawn every 0.5 m/s) at 850 hPa. The green solid line encompasses statistically significant values at 99% confidence level according to a ranksum Wilcoxon test.
Figure 4. Latitude-pressure cross sections for U (contours, m/s) and T (colors, K) anomaly for days a) 1-20, b) 21-40, c) 41-60. d) Time series of Z300 over the North Atlantic (blue line) and B-K seas (red line) and Z30 over the Arctic polar cap (black line). Dots indicate statistical significance at 95% confidence level according to a signed-rank Wilcoxon test.
Figure 5. Projections of $U$ at 300 hPa (shading, m/s) and transient eddy heat flux at 850 hPa (contours, Km/s, drawn every 1), for a) day 1-20, b) day 21-30, c) day 41-60. Fields are differences between PRT and CTL. The green solid line encompasses statistically significant values at 99% confidence level according to a ranksum Wilcoxon test.
Figure 6. a) Time series of the area-weighted instantaneous (dashed black line) and integrated (solid black line, see text for definition) 100 hPa eddy heat flux difference (PRF minus CTL), averaged zonally and meridionally between 40N and 80N. Units for the dashed line are Km/s, for the solid line are Km. b) Red line: $\{T^* \nabla^* c\}$, blue line: $\{T^* \nabla^* a\}$, dashed line: $\{T^* \nabla^* a\} + \{T^* \nabla^* c\}$. 
Figure 7. Projection of $T^*_a$ (shading) and $Z^*_c$ (contours, drawn every 50 m), at 100 hPa for a) PRT minus CTL averaged over days 15-50 and b) ERA Interim low DJF sea-ice anomaly in January and February.
Figure 8. a) Lagged regression of geopotential height difference at 300 hPa (PRT minus CTL) on the 100 hPa eddy heat flux difference (lag-0) averaged zonally (black line), over the North Atlantic (65N-85N, 60W-0W, blue line) and over the sector 70N-85N, 30E-90E (B-K and Scandinavia, red line). Shadings indicate the interquartile range of the distributions. b) Stereographic projection of geopotential height anomaly (shading, PRT minus CTL) at 300 hPa averaged between lag -5 and lag 0 and c) between lag 17 and lag 22.
Figure 9. a) Geopotential height difference (PRT minus CTL) averaged between lag -5 and lag 0 and between lag 17 and lag 22. b) As in a) but for T at 850 hPa.