

Precipitation, snow and atmospheric circulation in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram Himalaya: uncertainties and strengths in observations and global models

Elisa Palazzi

Silvia Terzago, Luca Filippi, Jost von Hardenberg, Antonello Provenzale

ISAC-CNR

(Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate-National Research Council, Italy)

### Geographical and climatic characterization of the HKKH mountain region

The third pole of the Earth, hosting the largest **reservoir of snow and ice** after the polar regions.

The **main river systems** in Asia originate from the HKKH mountains

Rivers are fed by snow/ice melt and by orographic precipitation brought by two main atmospheric systems:

- Western Weather Patterns (winterearly spring)
- Indian Monsoon (summer)

Changes in precipitation and snowpack distribution impact water availability.

Our understanding of precipitation and snowpack dynamics in the HKKH region is still incomplete owing also to uncertainties in observations and model simulations.





# <u>Precipitation</u> in the HKKH region: a view from the observations and GCM simulations

JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH	1	
Atmospheres	+	Clim Dyn 2
AN AGU JOURNAL		DOI 10.1007/s00382-014-2341-z
Regular Article		
Precipitation in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram Hima future scenarios	laya: Observations and	
E Palazzi, J. von Hardenberg and A. Issue		Precipitation in the Karakoram-Himalaya: a CMIP5 view
Provenzale	Journal of Geophysical	
Article first published online: 16 JAN 2013	Research: Atmospheres	Elisa Palazzi · Jost von Hardenberg · Silvia Terzago ·
DOI: 10.1029/2012JD018697	Volume 118, Issue 1, pages 85–100, 16 January 2013	Antoneno Provenzale
©2012. American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.		
Projektov Atrospilanto		We consider only the data and model
		when the free pixels (and points with
<b>70° 75° 80° 85</b> °	<b>90°</b> 95°	outputs from pixels/grid points with
	Call Can Star	mean elevation higher than 1000 m
The second second		above mean sea level
35°	<b>35°</b>	
J JKK	Sector Constants	Spatial averages over the two boxes of
		♦ Gridded precipitation data +
		reanalyses
30	30	
	And the second	$\diamond$ Data from GCMs
Himalaya		
25°	25°	
		Annual cycle climatology
<b>70° 75° 80° 85°</b>	<b>90°</b> 95°	♦ Long-term trends
	m	♦ Changes
0 1000 2000 3000 4000	0 5000 6000	r Ununges

# Data and approach

1

DATASET	Spatial domain	Temporal domain	Spatial resolution	Temporal resolution
TRMM 3B42	50°S-50°N	1998-2010	0.25°x0.25°	3-hr
GPCP	Global	1979-2010	2.5°x2.5°	Monthly
APHRODITE APHRO_V1003R1	60°E-150°E 15°S-55°S	1951-2007	0.25°×0.25°	Daily
GPCC V5	Land	1901-2009	0.5°x0.5°	Monthly
CRU TS3.01.01	Land	1901-2009	0.5°x0.5°	Monthly
ERA-Interim	Global	1979-2011	0.75°×0.75°	Daily
EC-Earth GCM	Global	1850-2005 + scenarios	1.125°×1.125°	Daily

# Multiannual mean (1998-2007) of JJAS precipitation



**Figure 2.** Multiannual mean (1998–2007) of summer (JJAS) precipitation over the region between 69°E–95°E and 23°N–39°N from the APHRODITE, CRU, GPCC, TRMM, GPCP, ERA-Interim, and EC-Earth model data sets.

DATASET	Advantages	Drawbacks
Satellite	Spatially-complete coverage of precipitation estimates	<ul> <li>Not yet suitable for climatological studies</li> <li>Snow</li> </ul>
Gridded datasets	- Long temporal coverage - Advantages of gridding	- Uncertainties from poor spatial coverage and high sparseness - Short averaging time scales - Snow
Reanalysis data	- Account for total precipitation - Global and continuous	- Climate trends are uncertains



- How the various data sets represent the properties of precipitation in the two regions in terms of precipitation amounts, seasonality, and trends.

**GPCC** 

- We do not try to define a ground "truth" for precipitation in the two subregions, but use a multiprobe source data.

# Annual cycle and trends

### 1

Seasonal trends (mm/day/decade)						
		Him	alaya	НКК		
	DATASET	JJAS	DJFMA	JJAS	DJFMA	
1951-2007	APHRODITE	-0,10	0.0	0.0	-0.03	
1950-2009	CRU	-0.08	0.05	0.02	-0.01	
1950-2009	GPCC	-0.21	-0.04	0.0	0.02	
1998-2010	TRMM	0.15	-0.06	0.57	0.41	
1979-2010	GPCP	-0.12	-0.10	0.17	-0.07	
1979-2010	ERA-Interim (no snow)	0.27 (0.27)	-0.02 (0.0)	-0.11 (-0.11)	-0.12 (-0.07)	
1950-2009	EC-Earth (no snow)	0.08 (0.14)	-0.01 ( <mark>0.01</mark> )	0.05 ( <mark>0.07</mark> )	0.0 (0.01)	



### Precipitation Annual cycle (1998-2007)



## Himalaya: unimodal distribution

HKK: bimodal distribution

Reanalysis and GCM data overestimate total precipitation with respect to the obs.

# Annual cycle: CMIP5 GCMs

### 32 CMIP5 Global Climate Models

### 2

#### Table 1 The CMIP5 models used in this study

Model ID	Resolution Lon $\times$ Lat <sup>o</sup> Lev
bcc-csm1-1-m	1.125 × 1.125L26 (T106)
bcc-csm1-1	2.8125 × 2.8125L26 (T42)
CCSM4	$1.25 \times 0.9L27$ (T63)
CESM1-BGC	$1.25 \times 0.9L27$
*CESM1-CAM5	$1.25 \times 0.9L27$
EC-Earth	1.125 × 1.125L62 (T159)
FIO-ESM	2.8125 × 2.8125L26 (T42)
GFDL-ESM2G	2.5 × 2L24 (M45)
GFDL-ESM2M	2.5 × 2L24 (M45)
MPI-ESM-LR	$1.875 \times 1.875L47 (T63)$
MPI-ESM-MR	$1.875 \times 1.875L95$ (T63)
*CanESM2	2.8125 × 2.8125L35 (T63)
CMCC-CMS	$1.875 \times 1.875L95$ (T63)
CNRM-CM5	$1.40625 \times 1.40625L31$ (T127)
*CSIRO-Mk3-6-0	$1.875 \times 1.875L18$ (T63)
*GFDL-CM3	2.5 × 2L48 (C48)
INM-CM4	2 × 1.5L21
IPSL-CM5A-LR	3.75 × 1.89L39
IPSL-CM5A-MR	$2.5 \times 1.2587L39$
IPSL-CM5B-LR	3.75 × 1.9L39
*MRI-CGCM3	1.125 × 1.125L48 (T159)
CMCC-CM	$0.75 \times 0.75$ L31 (T159)
FGOALS-g2	$2.8125 \times 2.8125L26$
*HadGEM2-AO	$1.875 \times 1.24L60$
*ACCESS1-0	$1.875 \times 1.25L38$ (N96)
*ACCESS1-3	$1.875 \times 1.25L38$
*HadGEM2-CC	$1.875 \times 1.24L60$ (N96)
*HadGEM2-ES	$1.875 \times 1.24L38$ (N96)
*MIROC5	$1.40625 \times 1.40625L40$ (T85)
*MIROC-ESM	2.8125 × 2.8125L80 (T42)
*NorESM1-M	$2.5 \times 1.9L26$ (F19)
*NorESM1-ME	$2.5 \times 1.9L26$



- Two reference long data sets evaluated in the period 1901-2005: CRU and GPCC
- Model overestimation (many individual GCMs as well as the MMM) with respect to CRU and GPCC
- Spread (multimodel standard deviation/multimodel mean): maximum in summer in HKK
- The models providing a similar representation of the precipitation annual cycle in the sub-regions have been grouped by using a hierarchical clustering analysis

# Annual cycle: CMIP5 GCMs



No single model or group of models emerges as that providing the best results in terms of precipitation annual cycle (and for the other statistics considered), and in both sub-regions.

### Future changes and trends: CMIP5 GCMs



### Maps of Changes (future RCP8.5-present)

- Wetter future conditions in Himalaya in summer
- Wetter future condition in HKK in summer (RCP8.5)
- Drier future condition in HKK in winter (RCP8.5)

			Himalaya			HKK		Turnda
		Historical	RCP 4.5	RCP 8.5	Historical	RCP 4.5	RCP 8.5	Irenas
	CRU	-0.416			0.097			
AS	GPCC	-1.445			0.101			(mm/day/century)
JJ	MMM	-0.076	1.027 🔶	1.860 🔶	0.094 🔶	0.054	0.186 🔶	
	Best Cluster	-0.068 🖡	0.500	1.357	0.068	0.002	0.116	
4	CRU	0.033			0.332			
X	GPCC	-0.355			0.002			
JF	MMM	-0.011	0.016	-0.051	-0.073	-0.006	-0.097	
	Best Cluster	-0.021	0.071	-0.25	-0.163 🗸	-0.025	-0.327 🗸	

# b. Snow pack

## Data & approach

Journal of Hydrometeorology 2014 ; e-View doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-0196.1

Snowpack changes in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram Himalaya from CMIP5 Global Climate Models

Silvia Terzago,\* Jost von Hardenberg, Elisa Palazzi, and Antonello Provenzale

Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (ISAC-CNR), Torino, Italy

Comparison between CMIP5 GCMs and Reanalyses (SNOW DEPTH, SNOW WATER EQUIVALENT)

- ERA-Interim/Land (0.7°)
- CFSR (0.3°) 20CR V2 (1.8°)

GCMs with resolution up to 1.25° agree better with each other, with reanalyses and with the orographic features

GCMs with resolution >  $2.5^{\circ}$ generally overestimate snow depth with respect to reanalyses

#### Average DJFMA snow depth in HKKH above 1000 m a.s.l. (1980–2005)





### b. Snow pack

## Snow depth Annual cycle & changes

Seasonal cycle: unimodal regime, maximum in Feb/Mar

#### HKK High resolution GCMs overestimate snow depth with respect to reanalyses

### Himalaya

GCM ensemble mean lies in the (large) range of variability of reanalysis



### Snow Depth Annual Cycle (1980-2005)



Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug

#### Changes in the Snow Depth Annual Cycle 1851\_1900 1851-1900 HKK Himalaya 1901-1950 1901\_1950 1051\_2000 0.4 -2050 RCP45 2051-2100 RCP45 Average SND [m] 2051-2100 RCP45 • 0.3 2051-2100 BC 0.2 0.1

0.0

# Expected changes in annual cycle

Strong reduction in snow depth expected for the end of the 21st century in both regions, in particular in the RCP85 scenario.

Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug

o.

0.6

0.4

0.2

00

Average SND [m]

Anticipation of the snow depth maximum from March to February in Himalaya → earlier melting implies anticipation of the river discharge peak & water availability in downstream areas

#### Snow depth trends: present and future b. Snow pack

### DJFMA TIME SERIES

DJFMA snow depth projections - HKK above 1000 m a.s.l.





DJFMA snow depth projections - Himalaya above 1000 m a.s.l.



FIG. 8. Spatial distribution of the winter (DJFMA) trends of snow depth, estimated over the projection period 2006-2100 (RCP8.5 scenario) and significant at the 95% confidence level

#### **REDUCTION** in the SPATIAL AVERAGE of SNOW DEPTH

	Snow depth reduction rate [%/century]			
	HKK Himalaya			
Historical	-6	-8		
RCP45	-17	-25		
RCP85	-39	-50		

#### Linked with increases in winter Temperature

### DJFMA TREND

2006-2100 RCP8.5

85°

90° 95° 100°

# c. Circulation

# NAO, WWP, HKK precipitation

Winter precipitation in the Karakoram is associated with WWP

>The dynamics of WWP is affected by the NAO (larger precipitation is typically recorded during the positive NAO phase)

> Investigate the relationship between the NAO and winter precipitation in the HKK using a multi-dataset approach

> Explore the **mechanisms** by which the NAO regulates WWPs and precipitation in the HKK

>Study the multi-decadal variability of the NAO-precipitation relationship in the last century.

#### Difference of precipitation between the positive and the negative NAO phase



APHRODITE (1951-2007) Product: APHRO\_MA(Monsoon Asia)\_V1101 Temporal resolution: daily. Spatial resolution: 0.25°x0.25° Coverage: 60°E-150°E,15°S-55°N

**GPCC (1901-2010)** Product: v6. Temporal resolution: monthly Spatial resolution: 0.5°×0.5°. Coverage: global

**CRU (1901-2012)** Product: TS 3.21. Temporal resolution: monthly Spatial resolution: 0.5°x0.5°. Coverage: global

> ERA40 (Sept 1957-Aug 2002) Temporal resolution: daily Spatial resolution: 1.125°×1.125° Coverage: global

20CR (1871-present) Product: version 2 Temporal resolution: monthly Spatial resolution: 1.125°×1.125° Coverage: global





### Positive NAO phase



# c. Circulation NAO-precip. relationship

Secular variations in the NAO-precipitation relationship and the NAO Angle Index



Alternation of periods of strong and weak influence of the NAO on winter precipitation over the HKK

The spatial pattern of the NAO changes in time: position of NAO centers of action (COAs) shifts in longitude and latitude. The Angle Index is a measure of these displacements

1900 1920 1940 1960 1980 2000 2020 Longitude of NAO COAs 1900 1920 1940 1960 1980 2000 2020 vear

20 1880

20

-40 1880

degrees East 0 -50 The position of the NAO COAs regulates the strength of the NAO-precipitation relationship

 High AI: weak control of the NAO on HKK precipitation
 Low AI: strong control of the NAO on HKK precipitation

### References

a. Precipitation

1. Palazzi, E., J. von Hardenberg, and A. Provenzale. 2013. Precipitation in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram Himalaya: Observations and future scenarios, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 85–100, doi: 10.1029/2012JD018697

2. Palazzi E., J. von Hardenberg, S. Terzago, A. Provenzale. 2014. Precipitation in the Karakoram-Himalaya: A CMIP5 view, Climate Dynamics, doi: 10.1007/ s00382-014-2341-z

b. Snow

Terzago, S, J von Hardenberg, E Palazzi, A Provenzale. 2014. Snowpack changes in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram Himalaya from CMIP5 Global Climate Models, Journal of Hydrometeorology. doi: 10.1175/JHM-D-13-0196.1

### c. Circulation

Filippi L, Palazzi E, von Hardenberg J, Provenzale A. 2014. Multidecadal Variations in the Relationship between the NAO and Winter Precipitation in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram. Journal of Climate. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00286.1

### Conclusive thoughts Modelling chain: a chain of uncertainties



Statistical/stochastic downscaling



Uncertainties in the GCMs

(it is difficult to quantify the portion of uncertainties coming from different elements)

Dynamical downscaling

336

### Uncertainties in the RCMs

Statistical/stochastic downscaling

Uncertainties related to other downscaling approaches

More uncertainties than strengths ... How do the uncertainties propagate across the chain? How to quantify them?

## Links with international initiatives: GEO-Gnome



- GEO-GEOSS (Group on Earth
   Observation System of Systems)
  - > SBA Ecosystems
    - Task EC-01: Global Ecosystem Monitoring. Task Coordinator: Italy
      - Component EC-01-C3: Global Network for Observations and Information in Mountain Environments (GEO-GNOME)

http://www.earthobservations.org/

### Actions

- Identify and collect data, archives and portals which are already available (e.g. ICIMOD, Pyrenees Climate Change Observatory, NextData project)
- Identify the main scientific questions to be addressed, also stimulating new measurements and modelling actions
  - Suggest and support concrete policy actions by the interaction between scientists of different king, stakeholders, local authorities and policy makers
  - Develop capacity building strategies, especially in the most remote mountain areas.

## Links with international initiatives: GEO-Gnome



> GEO-GEOSS (Group on Earth Observation System of Systems)

SBA - Ecosystems

Task EC-01: Global Ecosystem Monitoring. Task Coordinator: Italy

> Component EC-01-C3: Global Network for Observations and Information in Mountain Environments (GEO-GNOME)

### http://www.earthobservations.org/

Role	Member or PO	Implementing Entity
Lead (PoC)	Italy	CNR
Lead	Chile	University of Magallanes
Lead	Ecuador	MRECI
Lead	Germany	University Bayreuth
Lead	ICIMOD	ICIMOD
Lead	Italy	CNR
Lead	Nepal	NAST
Lead	Peru	CONDESAN
Lead	RCMRD	RCMRD
Lead	Spain	CMAOT
Lead	Switzerland	University of Bern
Lead	UNEP	UNEP - GRID-Arendal
Lead	UNOOSA	UNOOSA
GEO Sec Rep	GEO Secretariat	GEO
Contributor	Chile	INACH
Contributor	Chile	University of Magallanes
Contributor	ICIMOD	ICIMOD
Contributor	Italy	CNR
Contributor	Italy	EURAC
Contributor	Italy	Ev-K2-CNR
Contributor	Macedonia	PSI Hydrobiological Institute
Contributor	Norway	University of Bergen
Contributor	Pakistan	Ev-K2-CNR
Contributor	Pakistan	Ev-K2-CNR
Contributor	Peru	CONDESAN
Contributor	Spain	CMAOT
Contributor	Spain	CMAOT
Contributor	Spain	University of Barcelona
Contributor	United States	University of California
Contributor	UNOOSA	UNOOSA

## Links with international initiatives: Belmont Calls

### http://igfagcr.org/current-past-future-calls



### Tentative timeline:

- February 2015: call for pre-proposals
- June 2015: call closes
- July 2015: Invite full proposals
- October 2015: full proposals due
- December 2015: Panel for decision (@AGU)
- March 2016: projects begin

Collaborative Research Action (CRA) "Mountains as sentinels of change"

<u>Proposers:</u> CNR-DTA (NextData Project), Italy NSF, USA

<u>Agencies that will support the call</u> Italy, US, Germany, France, UK, China, Brazil, Austria (in-kind)





# Thank you for your attention

# Additional

1

# Projections EC-Earth model

EC-Earth GCM



### HIMALAYA SUMMER

- Positive precipitation trend in the period 1950-2009
- Positive precipitation trend in the future scenario, associated with an increase in wet extremes and daily intensity and a decrease in the number of rainy days
- Transition toward more episodic and intense monsoonal precipitation

