# Friction in Mid-latitude Cyclones

#### Ian Boutle

Bob Plant, Stephen Belcher, Bob Beare, Andy Brown





National Centre for Atmospheric Science



# Motivation

- Many studies have shown the significance of friction in formation and dissipation of cyclones
- Up to 50% reduced growth rate
- Met Office Unified Model still has trouble with cyclone depth
- Underlying physical mechanism not well understood



scheme operating



# **Ekman Pumping**

boundary layer

tropopause

 $\xi_1 > \xi_2$ 

- Boundary layer forces convergence
- Continuity forces ascent:



 What about temperature?

### **Potential Vorticity**

 $PV = \frac{1}{\rho} \zeta . \nabla \theta$ 

 $\frac{D}{Dt}(\mathbf{PV}) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \zeta \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{D\theta}{Dt} \right) + (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot \nabla \theta \right)$ 

Diabatic Term:Surface heat fluxesLatent heat fluxes

Frictional Term:

- Ekman pumping
- Baroclinic mechanism

# Baroclinic Mechanism

Depth averaged PV generation in boundary layer:

$$\left[G_{B}\right] = \frac{1}{\rho^{2}h^{2}}\mathbf{k} \times \boldsymbol{\tau}_{s} \cdot (\nabla_{H}\theta)_{h}$$

Depends on alignment of surface and thermal winds



# **Baroclinic Mechanism 2**



### **Boundary Layer Stability**



# Summary

- Boundary layer friction has a large affect on cyclone development
- Ekman pumping is significant, but not the only process acting
- PV perspective provides another mechanism, which appears similarly important

# Switching off BL

 Surface stress parameterised in terms of "eddy diffusivities"

$$\tau_{xz} = \rho K_m \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$$

• Define SBL by  $\theta(\text{level } 1) > \theta(\text{surface})$ 

• Set  $K_m = 0$  here