Earth's Climate from Space



Richard Allan Department of Meteorology University of Reading













Earth's energy balance in space

 $4\pi r^2$

Outgoing Thermal Radiative Energy

Absorbed Solar Radiative Energy

 πr^2

S/4 (1 - α) = F
There is a balance between the absorbed sunlight and the thermal radiative cooling of the planet
Without the greenhouse effect, this balance would occur at a frigid global temperature of -18°C Fourier (1824); Tyndall (1858); <u>Arhenius (1896); Lacis et al. (2011)</u>

Earth's global average energy balance: no atmosphere



 $\frac{S}{_{4}(1-\alpha)} = F \sim \varepsilon \sigma T_{S}^{4}$ S≈1361 Wm⁻² α~0.3 $\varepsilon = 1$ $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} Wm^{-2}K^{-4}$

Earth's global average energy balance: add atmosphere



 $\frac{S}{_{4}(1-\alpha)} = F \sim \varepsilon \sigma T_{S}^{4}$ S≈1361 Wm⁻² α~0.3 ε < 1 σ = 5.67 × 10⁻⁸ Wm⁻²K⁻⁴

Earth's global average energy balance: present day



 $\frac{S}{_{4}(1-\alpha)} = F \sim \varepsilon \sigma T_{S}^{4}$ S≈1361 Wm⁻² α~0.3 ε~0.6 σ = 5.67 × 10⁻⁸ Wm⁻²K⁻⁴

Earth's Global Annual Average Energy Balance



Wild et al. (2012) Clim. Dynamics. See also: Trenberth et al. (2009) BAMS

Total shortwave reflected to space 38 W m⁻² (100 Wm²)

Absorbed by atmosphere

> Reflected by atmosphere to space

Reflected by surface to space 29 W m² Incoming Solar radiation (shortwave) 148 W m²

Shortwave

flux

to surface

125 W m⁻²

Absorbed by atmosphere 14 W m⁻²

Outgoing Infrared radiation (longwave) 110 W m⁻² (240 Wm⁻²)

> Emitted by atmosphere to space

(Earth)

Absorbed by atmosphere

Longwave flux emitted by surface

(397 Wm⁻²)

emitted by atmosphere to surface

29 W m⁻² (342 Wm⁻²)

Longwave

Mars

Reflected by surface to space 2 W m⁻²

P. L. Read (Univ Oxford) after Angelats i Col et al. (2005)



P. L. Read (Univ Oxford) after Mendonca (2013)

EIEH51 MSG 10.8µm IR 02/03/2011 1200 UTC

11'0

Ð

ъ

19

EVEH31 MSG 0.8µm Visible 02/03/2011 1200 UTC

Top of Atmosphere Radiative Energy Fluxes CERES/TERRA, September 2004



The Net Radiation Balance Why don't the tropics get warmer and warmer and the poles colder and colder?



CLAUS movie



no rotation: no coriolis effect

Comparing the planets

...thanks to Wikipedea





Planet	Surface Temp.	Surface pressure	Diameter (Earth's)	Rotation (hrs)	Coriolis Effect
Mercury	80-700 K	~0	0.38	~0	None
Venus	737 K	200 kPa	0.95	slow	Weak
Earth	184-330 K	101 kPa	1	24	Moderate
Mars	130-308 K	0.6 kPa	0.53	24.5	^Small
Jupiter	*165 K	20-200 kPa	11.2	9.8	<u>STRONG</u>
Saturn	*134 K	1000 kPa	9.4	10.5	<u>STRONG</u>
Uranus	*76 K	10,000 kPa?	4.0	17	#Strong
Neptune	*72 K	MASSIVE	3.9	16	Strong
		- 11 -			

*Temperature at 100 kPa level #Uranus has a weird tilt ^dust stoms affected





Earth's Current Climate Zones











1) Is climate changing now?

Evidence for current climate change

"Warming of the climate" system is unequivocal, and since the 1950s, many of the observed changes are unprecedented over decades to millennia. The atmosphere and ocean have warmed, the amounts of snow and ice have diminished, sea level has risen, and the concentrations of greenhouse gases have increased." IPCC (2013)



-50

1900

1920

1940

1960

1980

2000

Source: IPCC WGI (2013) SPM





2) Why is Earth warming?





Satellite observations detect enhanced greenhouse effect: 1997-1970 Harries et al. 2001, Nature



These results showed for the first time experimental confirmation of the significant increase in the greenhouse effect from trace gases such as carbon dioxide and methane

"Radiative forcing" of climate

- Increases in greenhouse gases heat the planet by reducing how easily Earth can cool to space through infra-red emission
- More small pollutant "aerosol" particles cool the planet by reflecting sunlight
- If more energy is arriving than leaving, Earth should heat up...

Currently energy is accumulating at rate equivalent to 300 billion electric heaters (1 kilo Watt) spread over the globe







3) Can we explain recent warming?

Comprehensive climate simulations...



Natural factors cannot explain recent warming



Recent warming can be simulated when man-made factors are included





4) What are the predictions?

Future projections to 2100 from climate models



IPCC (2014) WG1 Summary for Policy Makers



Future World + 250 Ma Long term forecast?



In Billions of Years (approx.)

Sizes not drawn to scale

Extra slides



- Atmospheric moisture increases with warming in computer simulations and as detected by conventional and satellite observations
- The enhanced greenhouse effect amplifies climate change (+ve "feedback")
- Additional moisture also fuels a greater intensity of rainfall





But how will climate change regionally?



Sea Surface Temperature Anomaly (°C), Base Period 1971-2000 Week of 26 SEP 2012





What explains the mild climate in the UK and its variations from year to year?



1. Air is warmer closer to the tropics (air expands) than at the poles (air contracts). This generates a **poleward flow of air** high up in the atmosphere



3. This high altitude (5-7km) fast moving ribbon of air is called the jet stream. It steers weather systems over or away from the UK. 2. The Earth spins: the surface moves quicker near the equator than at higher latitudes. So poleward-flowing air retains this speed and is deflected to the **east** (direction of spin)

Remote influences on the jet stream



4. Changes in this temperature difference between equator and pole can alter the position and strength of the jet stream. This and other **natural** and **human-caused** effects influence our weather patterns and extremes.





Total shortwave reflected to space

Absorbed by atmosphere

Reflected by atmosphere to space

Reflected by surface to space 7.5 W m⁻² Shortwave flux to surface 33 W m⁻²

Incoming

Solar

radiation

(shortwave)

147 W m⁻²

Absorbed by

atmosphere

81 W m⁻²

Outgoing Infrared radiation (longwave) 106 W m² storm Mars

Longwave emitted by atmosphere to surface

Emitted by atmosphere to space

Absorbed by atmosphere

Longwave flux emitted by surface 100 W m⁻² Reflected by surface to space 4 W m⁻²

P. L. Read (Univ Oxford) after <u>Lewis et</u> al. (1999) JGR

Absorbed by surface 25 W m²

Absorbed by surface 74 W m⁻²





See also IPCC (2013) Summary for Policy Makers (Figure SPM.4)

Anthropogenic

Natural

Clouds and why global warming predictions are uncertain?









See also: http://www.pmodwrc.ch/pmod.php?topic=tsi/composite/SolarConstant



Sulphur aerosols cool climate directly and indirectly



Met Office Hadley Centre

What would happen if we enhance the greenhouse effect?



Radiating Efficiency, or the inverse of the Greenhouse Effect, is strongly determined by water vapour absorption across the electromagnetic spectrum

Introduce a radiative forcing (e.g. $2xCO_2$) note: could equally choose to change solar



Radiative cooling to space through longwave emission drops by about 4 Wm⁻² resulting in a radiative imbalance

The climate system responds by warming



Surface Temperature = $+15^{\circ}C$

New global temperature



Doubling CO₂ concentrations increased temperature by about 1°C in this simple example. But this ignores feedback processes that may amplify or retard the response to the <u>forcings</u>.

Experiments with climate models

- How much of recent warming is explained by natural effects?
- To answer such questions, experiments can be performed with climate simulations
 - including just natural factors (ocean circulation, volcanic eruptions, changes in the sun, ...)
 - including natural and anthropogenic factors (e.g. greenhouse gas emissions which cause heating + sulphate aerosol pollutant particles which cause cooling)





