

EVALUATING WATER VAPOUR CHANGES IN CMIP6 SIMULATIONS

Richard P. Allan

r.p.allan@reading.ac.uk

@rpallanuk




INTRODUCTION

- Climate models generally accepted to represent well water vapour feedback
- ...but systematic biases in mean state & moist processes [e.g. John & Soden 2007 GRL](#)
- Observing systems also struggle to capture long-term changes [Schroeder et al. 2016](#)
- How is water vapour changing over continents (e.g. [Dunn et al. 2017 ESD](#); [Byrne & O'Gorman \(2018 PNAS\)](#)) and throughout the atmosphere (e.g. [Dessler et al. 2008 GRL](#))?
- Preliminary results - contribution to Met Office/Reading MOAP project


Strategy:

- Assess changes at largest scales (global/tropical, land/ocean), fill missing data
- Evaluate trends and sensitivity to surface temperature (*cdo and IDL to process*)
- 18 CMIP6 amip and historical experiment simulations (r1i1p1f1/2; mostly 1988-2014)
- SSM/I(S) RSSv7 F08/11/13/15/17+ERA5; AIRS OBS4MIP V2.1; HadISDH+ERA5



VARIABILITY SINCE 1979

- ENSO variability captured
 - e.g. Hans Gleisner's talk
- Discrepancy in simulated changes in 1980s (ERA5/HadISDH and AMIP6)
 - Also for low altitude T
- Unrealistic drop in ERA5 column water vapour early 1990s:
 - e.g. [Allan et al. 2020 NYAS](#)
 - Especially tropical oceans ~ 850 hPa
- Divergence between AIRS/ERA5 trends in 2000s (esp. 400-700 hPa tropical oceans)



VERTICAL LEVELS


AIRS:

- Verifying 300 hPa response (looks too good!)
- Does not capture increasing trend 2008-2016 ~400-700 hPa (\downarrow RH)
- Jump in 925 hPa q in 2014

ERA5:

- 1980s ERA5/AMIP6 discrepancy – mostly tropical ocean low altitude



CHANGE/1K WARMING & TRENDS





- Clausius Clapeyron/thermodynamic altitude-dependent effects captured
- Suppressed trend in **amip** vs **historical** CMIP6 simulations
 - SST+pattern effect?
- AIRS short record; inconsistent vertical profile changes?
- Near surface discrepancy
- Column consistent?

dq/dT_s sensitivity



LAND+OCEAN



OCEAN




LAND



- Consistent amip/hist
- Smaller land response expected
 - warmer/drier [Trenberth & Shea 2005 GRL](#)
 - Ocean moisture source e.g. [Byrne & O'Gorman \(2018\) PNAS](#)
- Suppressed AIRS 600-700 hPa ocean response
- ERA5/HadISDH: small (extra-tropical?) ocean response?
[Willett et al. 2020 ESD](#)

TROPICAL

dq/dt: trend LAND+OCEAN



University of
Reading

- ~1%/decade column trends in SSMI(S), ERA5, amip
- historical simulations warm & moisten more
- Small ERA5 tropical tropospheric trends (pre 2000)
- Small ERA5/HadISDH tropical ocean surface trends

PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS

- Water vapour changes broadly captured by CMIP6 models and observing systems
 - Altitude/latitude dependent effects of Clausius Clapeyron equation/thermodynamics
- Suppressed water vapour trends in amip vs historical (SST pattern effect?)
- Limitations of observing system
 - Unrealistic decreases in 1980s-1990s in ERA5 (mainly low level, tropical ocean)
 - Discrepancy in low altitude moisture changes (observations/reanalyses vs CMIP6, especially tropical ocean) – see *Kate Willett's talk*; [*Willett et al. 2020 ESD*](#)
 - Altitude dependent artifacts in AIRS specific humidity data?
- Next: microwave UTH (e.g. [*John et al. 2019 BAMS*](#)); $\Delta T(p)$, $\Delta RH(p)$; unfilled; detrend; ...

amip global prw prizes so far!

- Wettest model - MIROC6: 26.6 kg m^{-2} ; Driest models - GFDL-ESM4&CNRM-CM6-1: 24 kg m^{-2}
- Most sensitive - GFDL-ESM4: $7\%/\text{K}$; Least sensitive - INM-CM5-0: $5.5\%/\text{K}$





