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## 4D-Var problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min J\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}_{0}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(h_{i}\left[\mathbf{x}_{i}\right]-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1}\left(h_{i}\left[\mathbf{x}_{i}\right]-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to

$$
\mathbf{x}_{i+1}=\mathbf{f}_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right), \quad i=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

## Minimization using iterative methods

The minimization of the cost function in variational data assimilation usually requires an iterative gradient method such as conjugate gradient or quasi-Newton.
These methods need to be able to calculate the cost function and its first derivative with respect to the initial state on each iteration.
In general the user must supply a routine which calculates $J\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\nabla J\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ for any value of $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$.

## Calculating the gradient

The gradient is given by solving the adjoint equation backwards in time:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{f}_{k}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right) \\
& \lambda_{k+1}=\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{k}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}}\right)^{T} \lambda_{k}-\mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{k}^{-1}\left(h_{k}\left[\mathbf{x}_{k}\right]-\mathbf{y}_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\nabla J\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0}+\mathbf{B}_{0}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\right)
$$

## Notes

- The words adjoint and transpose are often used interchangeably. In fact the transpose is an adjoint for a particular inner product.
- The use of other inner products is only important if we want to give a physical meaning to adjoint variables.


## Example of tangent linear model (TLM)

Suppose we have

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}=0
$$

Put $u=u_{0}+\delta u, \quad \theta=\theta_{0}+\delta \theta$
Then we have

$$
\frac{\partial(\delta \theta)}{\partial t}+u_{0} \frac{\partial(\delta \theta)}{\partial x}+\delta u \frac{\partial \theta_{0}}{\partial x}=0
$$

This is the tangent linear equation. hatural environment research council

## Discrete method

Let us suppose we have the line of Fortran

$$
z=x * y+y * y
$$

We can linearize these lines of code by putting

$$
x=X+\delta x, \quad y=Y+\delta y, z=Z+\delta z
$$

$X, Y, Z$ are called linearization states.
Then we obtain

$$
\delta z=X * \delta y+\delta x * Y+2 * Y * \delta y
$$

To obtain the adjoint model we consider the TLM statement as a matrix system in which we also consider $\delta \mathrm{x}$ and $\delta \mathrm{y}$ to be unchanged inputs to the system.

We can write the TLM Fortran statement as a matrix system as follows:

$$
\delta z=X * \delta y+\delta x * Y+2 * Y * \delta y
$$

TLM

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\delta x \\
\delta y \\
\delta z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
Y & X+2 Y
\end{array}\right)\binom{\delta x}{\delta y}
$$

The adjoint model can then be found by transposing this system of equations

ADJ

$$
\binom{\delta x^{\prime \prime}}{\delta y^{\prime \prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & Y \\
0 & 1 & X+2 Y
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\delta x^{\prime \prime} \\
\delta y^{\prime \prime} \\
\delta z^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which implies the adjoint code

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta x^{\prime /}=\delta x^{\prime /}+Y * \delta z^{\prime \prime} \\
& \delta y^{\prime /}=\delta y^{/ /}+(X+2 * Y) * \delta z^{\prime /}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that this adjoint code can be derived directly from the TLM code, without writing out the matrices

TL

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta z=X * \delta)+\delta x *+2 * Y * \delta y \\
& \delta x^{\prime \prime}=\delta x^{\prime \prime}+Y * \delta z^{\prime \prime} \\
& \delta y^{\prime \prime}=\delta y^{\prime \prime}+(X+2 * Y) * \delta z^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

ADJ

We also need to set $\delta z^{/ /}=0$

Hence the adjoint code can be developed directly from the TLM code, following some simple rules.

- Set initial values of adjoint variables to zero.
- Work backwards through the TLM code, taking the transpose of each line of code and setting LHS variables to zero.
- Reverse also the order of any loops which depend on the loop order.
- For each line of adjoint code increment the adjoint variables. аrven evveownent assanch couccu


## Notes and issues

- The variables we differentiate with respect to are known as active variables. Other variables, e.g. model constants, are called passive variables.
- The TLM and adjoint calculations require intermediate values of the linearization states. These must either be stored or recalculated. Note that this is important for large models, where the linearization state will be very large and is needed at each time step.


## Notes and issues

- Care must be taken with processes which are nondifferentiable and with iterative processes e.g. it is not enough just to linearize an iterative process. The linearized process may not converge.


## Automatic adjoint compilers

The procedure shown in the previous slides is so automatic that it is possible to for 'adjoint compilers' to do it automatically. Such packages will produce a TLM and adjoint model from a nonlinear model source code, e.g.

- TAF
- ODYSSEE
- ADIFOR
- Python modules Earth Observation natural envinonment peseanch council


## Testing of a TLM - Correctness

Is the TLM coded correctly?
Consider a perturbation $\gamma \delta \mathbf{x}$, where $\gamma$ is a scalar.
Then by a Taylor series expansion we have

$$
M\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\gamma \delta \mathbf{x}\right)=M\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)+\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \gamma \delta \mathbf{x}+\text { h.o.t. }
$$

Hence
$\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\|M\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\gamma \delta \mathbf{x}\right)-M\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \gamma \delta \mathbf{x}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \gamma \delta \mathbf{x}\right\|}=0$ hatural environment research council

## Testing of a TLM - Correctness



## Testing of a TLM - Validity

Does the linear model provide a good approximation?

For a realistic perturbation $\delta \mathbf{x}$, compare the nonlinear evolution

$$
M\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\delta \mathbf{x}\right)-M\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)
$$

with the linear evolution $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \delta \mathbf{x}$

Realistic implies a size of the order of analysis error and not dominated by gravity waves.

## Testing of a TLM - Validity



Note that validity will depend on

- Size of perturbation
- Time of evolution
- Linearization state
- Application


## Test of adjoint model

For any operator $\mathbf{M}$ and its adjoint $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}}$ we have $(\mathbf{M} \delta \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{M} \delta \mathbf{x})=\left(\delta \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M} \delta \mathbf{x}\right)$
To test an adjoint model we

1. Start with a random perturbation $\delta \mathbf{x}$
2. Apply the TLM, which gives $\mathbf{M} \delta \mathbf{x}$
3. Apply the adjoint model to the result of 3 , to obtain $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M} \delta \mathbf{x}$
4. Verify that the above identity is satisfied to machine precision NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCI

## Summary so far

So far we have been able to

- Code the cost function using the nonlinear model.
- Calculate the tangent linear model from the nonlinear model \& test the TLM.
- Calculate the adjoint model from the tangent linear model \& test the adjoint.
- Code the gradient of the cost function using the adjoint model.
As a final step we want to test the gradient.


## Gradient test

$$
J(\mathbf{x}+\alpha \mathbf{h})=J(\mathbf{x})+\alpha \mathbf{h}^{T} \nabla J(\mathbf{x})+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)
$$

Define

$$
\Phi(\alpha)=\frac{J(\mathbf{x}+\alpha \mathbf{h})-J(\mathbf{x})}{\alpha \mathbf{h}^{T} \nabla J(\mathbf{x})}=1+O(\alpha)
$$

and plot $\Phi(\alpha)$ as $\alpha$ tends to zero.
Note that $\mathbf{h}$ should be of unit length, e.g.

$$
\mathbf{h}=\frac{\nabla J(\mathbf{x})}{\|\nabla J(\mathbf{x})\|}
$$

## Gradient test
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