Variational data assimilation II Background and methods

Ross Bannister

21-24 June 2022, Univ. of Reading



Consider the minimum point of the strong constraint cost function (the 'analysis')

What should we expect J_{\min} to be?

$$\begin{split} J(\delta \mathbf{x}_0) &= &\frac{1}{2} \left(\delta \mathbf{x}_0 - \delta \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{b}} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_0^{-1} \left(\bullet \right) + \\ &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{T} \left(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathscr{H}_i(\mathbf{x}_i^{\mathrm{R}}) - \mathbf{H}_i \delta \mathbf{x}_i \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \left(\bullet \right) \end{split}$$

- State vector size n, number of observations p.
- ullet Assume ullet R correct, ${\mathscr H}$, ${\mathscr M}$ perfect and linear, Gaussian error statistics.
- (n+p)/2
- **3** p/2
- No theoretical value

Hmmm ...

Some challenges ahead

- Methods assume that error cov. matrices are correctly known.
- Representing B₀.
 - Better models of \mathbf{B}_0 .
 - Flow dependency (e.g. Ensemble-Var or hybrid methods).
- Representing \mathbf{R}_i
 - Allowing for observation error covariances.
- Representing Q_i
- Numerical conditioning of the problem.
- Application to more complicated systems (e.g. high-resolution models, coupled atmosphere-ocean DA, chemical DA).
- Variational bias correction.
- Moist processes, inc. clouds.
- Effective use on massively parallel computer architectures.



Making variational DA work – control variable transforms

- \mathbf{B}_0 is an $n \times n$ matrix.
 - In operational problems \mathbf{B}_0 is too large to store, let alone invert.
 - An unknowable matrix.
- Can model the essential features of B_0 with a change of variable, $\delta x = U \delta v$ (a control variable transform).
 - Hypothesise that the problem is much simpler when posed in terms of $\delta {f v}$ rather than $\delta {f x}$.

```
\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Cost function} & \to & \text{Minimise w.r.t. } \delta \mathbf{v} & \to & \text{Convert back} \\ \text{in terms of } \delta \mathbf{v} & & \text{(trivial B-matrix)} & \text{to } \delta \mathbf{x} \\ & & & & \nwarrow \text{iterate } \swarrow \end{array}
```

• Equivalent to solving original problem w.r.t. δx with $B_0 = UU^T$. See Bannister (2008).

CVTs in more detail

• Illustrate in simplest case: 3DVar with $\mathbf{x}_0^R = \mathbf{x}_0^b$ (and drop time index)

$$J^{3\mathrm{DVar}}(\delta \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{y} - \mathscr{H}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}) - \mathbf{H} \delta \mathbf{x} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left(\bullet \right).$$

• Make a change of variable: $\delta x = U \delta v$ and assume B-matrix of errors in the δv representation is identity.

$$\mathbf{B} = \left\langle \delta \mathbf{x} \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle_{\!b} = \mathbf{U} \left\langle \delta \mathbf{v} \delta \mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle_{\!b} \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

• Substitute into $J^{\mathrm{3DVar}}(\delta \mathbf{x})$: gives a cost function w.r.t. $\delta \mathbf{v}$

$$\begin{split} J^{3\mathrm{DVar}}(\delta \mathbf{v}) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{y} - \mathscr{H}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}) - \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U} \delta \mathbf{v} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left(\bullet \right) \\ \nabla_{\delta \mathbf{v}} J^{3\mathrm{DVar}} &= \delta \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\mathbf{y} - \mathscr{H}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}) - \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U} \delta \mathbf{v} \right) \\ \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{a}} &= \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}} + \mathbf{U} \left(\operatorname{argmin} \left[J^{3\mathrm{DVar}} (\delta \mathbf{v}) \right] \right). \end{split}$$



Estimating a B-matrix

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} = \left\langle \left(\mathbf{x}^b - \mathbf{x}^t \right) \left(\mathbf{x}^b - \mathbf{x}^t \right)^T \right\rangle_b$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \left\langle \left(x_1^b - x_1^t \right)^2 \right\rangle_b & \cdots & \left\langle \left(x_1^b - x_1^t \right) \left(x_n^b - x_n^t \right) \right\rangle_b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \left\langle \left(x_n^b - x_n^t \right) \left(x_1^b - x_1^t \right) \right\rangle_b & \cdots & \left\langle \left(x_n^b - x_n^t \right)^2 \right\rangle_b \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\langle \bullet \rangle_{\rm h}$: average over population of possible backgrounds.

Problem

The 'truth', \mathbf{x}^t , that appears in the definition of error, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{x}^b - \mathbf{x}^t$, is unknowable, so need a proxy for this quantity.

Approaches to estimating a B-matrix (1)

"Canadian quick" method

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{t}} \sim \left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}(t+T) - \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}(T)\right) / \sqrt{2}.$$

Take population from one long time run, Polavarapu et al. (2005).

Approaches to estimating a B-matrix (2)

Analysis of innovations

Choose a pair of direct/independent obs locations separated by Δr :

Take the expectation:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \left\langle \left[\varepsilon_{r}^{y} - \varepsilon_{r}^{b} \right] \left[\varepsilon_{r+\Delta r}^{y} - \varepsilon_{r+\Delta r}^{b} \right] \right\rangle & = & \left\langle \varepsilon_{r}^{y} \varepsilon_{r+\Delta r}^{y} \right\rangle + \left\langle \varepsilon_{r}^{b} \varepsilon_{r+\Delta r}^{b} \right\rangle \\ & = & \sigma_{o}^{2} \delta_{\Delta r,0} + \sigma_{b}^{2} \mathrm{cor}_{b}(\Delta r). \end{array}$$

Above assumes obs and bg errors, as are errors between obs at different locations. Take population from many pairs with same Δr . Rutherford (1972), Hollingsworth and Lönnberg (1986), Järvinen (2001).

Approaches to estimating a B-matrix (3)

National Meteorological Center (NMC) method

Choose pairs of lagged forecasts valid at the same time, e.g.:

$$\mathbf{x}^{b} - \mathbf{x}^{t} \sim \left(\mathbf{x}_{48}^{b}(t) - \mathbf{x}_{24}^{b}(t)\right) / \sqrt{2}.$$

Take population from difference at many times. Parrish and Derber (1992), Berre et al. (2006).

Approaches to estimating a B-matrix (4)

Ensemble method

If you have an ensemble that is correctly spread:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{b} - \mathbf{x}^{t} &\sim & \mathbf{x}_{(i)}^{b} - \left\langle \mathbf{x}^{b} \right\rangle \\ &\text{or} \\ \mathbf{x}^{b} - \mathbf{x}^{t} &\sim & \left(\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^{b} - \mathbf{x}_{(j)}^{b} \right) / \sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Take population from ensemble members and over many times. Houtekamer et al. (1996), Buehner (2005), Bonavita et al. (2015).

- Control variable transforms: Bannister R.N., A review of forecast error covariance statistics in atmospheric variational data assimilation. II: Modelling the forecast error covariance statistics., Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 134, 1971–1996 (2008).
- Canadian 'quick' method: Polavarapu S., Ren S., Rochon Y., Sankey D., Ek N., Koshyk J., Tarasick D., Data assimilation with the Canadian middle atmosphere model. Atmos.-Ocean 43: 77-100 (2005).

Analysis of innovations: Hollingsworth A., Lönnberg P., The statistical structure of

- short-range forecast errors as determined from radiosonde data. Part I: The wind field. Tellus 38A: 111–136 (1986).
- Analysis of innovations: Järvinen H., Temporal evolution of innovation and residual statistics in the ECMWF variational data assimilation systems. Tellus 53A: 333–347 (2001).
- NMC method: Parrish D.F., Derber J.C., The National Meteorological Center's spectral statistical interpolation analysis system. Mon. Wea. Rev. 120 1747–1763 (1992).
- NMC method: Berre L., Ştefănescu S.E., Pereira M.B., The representation of the analysis
 effect in three error simulation techniques. Tellus 58A 196–209 (2006).
- Ensemble method: Houtekamer P.L., Lefaivre L., Derome J., Ritchie H., Mitchell H.L., A system simulation approach to ensemble prediction. Mon. Wea. Rev. 124, 1225–1242 (1996).
- Ensemble method: Buehner M., Ensemble derived stationary and flow dependent background error covariances: Evaluation in a quasi-operational NWP setting. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc. 131, 1013–1043 (2005).
- Ensemble method: Bonavita M., Holm E., Isaksen L., Fisher M., The evolution of the ECMWF hybrid data assimilation system, Q.J.R. Meteor. Soc. (2015).

