

An examination of the short-range forecast error characteristics of perturbations from an ensemble of 4DEnVars when using different inflation schemes

×10:2

M. Wlasak, N. Bowler and M. Jardak

**ISDA 2016** 



- Outline of inflation schemes, such as additive inflation, RTPP and RTPS.
- Present background error statistics from En-4DEnVar trials comparing against training data from ECMWF and MOGREPS ETKF.
  - Standard deviations
  - Vertical correlations
  - Horizontal length scales
- Conclusions



### **Multiplicative Inflation Schemes**

**Met Office** 

**RTPP** – relaxation to prior perturbations •

$$\mathbf{x}_i^a \to \alpha \mathbf{x}_i^f + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{x}_i^a$$

RTPS – relaxation to prior spread

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{a} \rightarrow r \mathbf{x}_{i}^{a} \qquad r = \frac{\alpha \sigma(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{f}) + (1 - \alpha) \sigma(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{a})}{\sigma(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{a})}$$

1

1

1



### **Additive Inflation Schemes**

- Stochastic Physics
- The use of random scaled analysis increments. (Additive inflation)
  - This is a method designed by Piccolo and Cullen (2016) to take account of model error.
  - It is a statistically based scheme that is used to take account of gaps in our knowledge and taking account of bias.
  - BUT it is flow-independent and its features depend on the observing network.





- 1. Use of ECMWF N320 data set (labelled ECMWF data) 300 samples -.
- 2. 44 members MOGREPS *ETKF* N400 reconfigured to N216 with stochastic physics and adaptive inflation 880 samples -.
- 3. 22 members En-4DEnVar N216, Bc=50%, Be=80%, perturbed obs, additive inflation 0.5, stochastic physics, *RTPP=0.8* 440 samples -.
- 4. 22 members En-4DEnVar N216, Bc=50%, Be=80%, perturbed obs, additive inflation 0.5, stochastic physics, *RTPS=1.1* 440 samples -.
- 5. 22 members En-4DEnVar N216, additive inflation 100%, Bc=100%, Be=0%, perturbed obs,, RTPP=0.4 (100Bc\_RTPP\_0.4) 440 samples -.
- 22 members En-4DEnVar N216, Bc=50%, Be=80%, perturbed obs only, additive inflation 0.0, no stochastic physics, RTPS=0.0, RTPP=0.0 (perfect\_model experiment) - 440 samples -.



### Standard Deviations - longitude component of wind (u) and potential temperature (theta)





## Standard Deviations – hydrostatic pressure and comments



© Crown copyright Met Office

- The perfect model experiment shows the clear need for inflation (though a large drop in the standard deviation is partly due to high Be weight/lack of ensemble members).
- Even with an RTPS greater than 1, the standard deviations are low.
- Except for pressure, the expt with additive 1.0, 100% Bc weight, RTPP= 0.4 produces a decent amount of spread. For ensemble purposes this has not been pursued as we were after a more flow-dependent background error covariance that provides CRPS values comparable to the ETKF.
- There is a notable "kink" in the pressure profile when using a **large** value of **RTPP**.



# Multivariate coupling through vertical regression and linear balance.

- A value of 1.0 means that the hydrostatic pressure is purely determined by the rotational wind.
- A value of 0.0 means that there is no such coupling.
- We see excessive multivariate coupling when using large RTPP.





# Vertical correlations - longitude component of wind (u)

- Broadest vertical correlations are seen with large RTPP (consistent with the excessive multivariate coupling).
- Too broad correlations in static B will increase the condition number of the Hessian in the VAR minimisation as the minimisation becomes more constrained by obs at distance.





## Vertical correlations - longitude component of wind (u)

- ECMWF data tends to have tight vertical correlations – almost as tight as the perfect model experiment.
- ECMWF data is what we use to define our static B matrix in VAR and is what we believe to be true.





# Vertical correlations – potential temperature (theta)

#### **Met Office**

 Large RTPP has strongest anticorrelations between levels 30 and 37 and are quite broad.





# Vertical correlations – potential temperature (theta)

- The 100% Bc expt with full additive inflation has the tightest vertical correlations for theta.
- What is surprising is that the perfect model experiment has relatively broad vertical correlations, presumably due to the noisy effect of perturbed observations.





### Vertical correlations – hydrostatic pressure

- The **ETKF** data was unviable for generating static B due to poor Hessian conditioning, due to strong multivariate coupling and broad vertical correlations.
- The experiment with RTPP=0.85 has large vertical correlations that are comparable to the ETKF. Both expts have excessive multivariate coupling (too balanced).





# Vertical correlations – hydrostatic pressure

 The full additive inflation, 100Bc expt with RTPP=0.4 has vertical correlations very similar to the ECMWF training data.





### Horizontal length scales streamfunction and velocity potential



- PSI is the inverse Laplacian of the horizontal 2D vorticity.
- CHI is the inverse Laplacian of the horizontal 2D divergence.
- ECMWF training data has a lot of power at low horizontal wavenumbers.
- **RTPS** and **perfect model** have particularly short length scales.



### Horizontal length scales – potential temperature and pressure.



- Again perfect model gives short horizontal length scales.
- We don't quite understand why **RTPS** produces excessively broad horizontal length scales in potential temperature.



- The choice of inflation scheme has a large impact on the characteristics of the background error.
- Using scaled random analysis increments produce fields that are quite unbalanced and are quite dependent on observation coverage.
- A large **RTPP value** has the effect of increased balance in terms of more multivariate coupling, broader vertical correlations and a "kink" in the vertical profile of the standard deviations.
- **RTPS** has unusually long theta horizontal length scales and needs an inflated analysis error variance to be greater than the background error variance to give sufficient spread!!!
- None of the inflation schemes are ideal and so we have gone with a mixture of the above.