# Assimilation of Satellite Infrared Brightness Temperatures and Doppler Radar Observations in a High-Resolution OSSE

# **Jason Otkin and Becky Cintineo**

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies

# **Thomas Jones**

University of Oklahoma, Cooperative Institute for Mesoscale Meteorological Studies

# **Steve Koch and Lou Wicker**

National Severe Storms Laboratory

# **Dave Stensrud**

Pennsylvania State University

Funding: U.S. Weather Research Program within NOAA/OAR Office of Weather and Air Quality under Grant NA10NES4400013

The assimilation experiments were performed on the NOAA/NESDIS/STAR "S4" supercomputer at the University of Wisconsin–Madison

# **Brightness Temperature Assimilation at Convective Scales**

 Assimilation experiments performed using the WRF model, the DART ensemble data assimilation system, and the Community Radiative Transfer Model (CRTM)

 Synthetic satellite and radar observations created using output from a 2-km resolution truth simulation of a severe thunderstorm event

 Assimilation experiments were performed using a 50-member ensemble containing 4-km resolution and 52 vertical levels

• GOES-R Advanced Baseline Imager and Doppler radar observations were assimilated every 5 minutes during a 2-hour assimilation period

- Clear and cloudy sky 6.95  $\mu$ m brightness temperatures sensitive to clouds and water vapor in the middle and upper troposphere
- Provides a spatially continuous 2-dimensional view of cloud and water vapor fields across entire model domain

#### WSR-88D Radar Observations



• Simulated WSR-88D radar reflectivity and radial velocity obs were produced for the Wichita, Topeka, and Kansas City radars

- Provide dense 3D coverage where there are large cloud particles
- VCP-21 scanning strategy used with 9 elevation angles
- Clear-sky observations (< 10 dBZ) were not assimilated</li>

#### **Clear Sky Bias Correction During 2-Hour Assimilation Period**



#### Horizontal Localization During 2-Hour Assimilation Period



• Tested impact of horiz. covariance localization radius when assimilating satellite  $T_b$  observations

- 28 km radius resulted in the smallest errors by end of assimilation period
- 20 km radius led to much larger analysis increments, but largest errors; unable to remove clouds from clear areas of domain
- 36 km radius degraded cloud analysis and caused erroneous thunderstorms

#### 6.95 $\mu$ m T<sub>b</sub> Analysis Errors During Assimilation Period



- Control no assimilation
- SAT satellite only
- RAD radar only
- SATRAD both satellite and radar observations

• Satellite observations had large positive impact on the cloud and moisture fields

- Radar data assimilation led to larger errors due to lower sensitivity to moisture and poor domain coverage
- Best results obtained during the SATRAD case

#### Final Analysis – 500 hPa Water Vapor Mixing Ratio



 Truth simulation had small mixing ratios everywhere except along the cold front and small convective cells

• Control case was too dry along the cold front and too moist to the northwest and southeast of the front

• Satellite observations reduced dry bias along the front and removed the wet bias further to the east

• Radar data also reduced dry bias along the western half of the front, but not to the east

# Final Analysis – 500 hPa Total Cloud Mixing Ratio



• Truth simulation contained large cloud mixing ratios along the cold front

 Control case did not have enough cloud condensate within this region

• Cloud errors were smaller when satellite observations were assimilated

 Radar data also lowered bias along the western half of the cold front

• Smallest cloud errors were found during the SATRAD case when both observation types were assimilated

# Simulated Radar Reflectivity During 1-Hour Forecast Period



- Truth simulation had a long line of thunderstorms
- Initial thunderstorm structure more accurate when satellite and radar observations were assimilated
- Thunderstorms maintained organization longer during the SATRAD case
- Best structure was obtained when both satellite and radar observations were assimilated
- Satellites can fill in data gaps even within data rich locations such as the central United States

### Forecast 35 dBZ Composite Radar Reflectivity Probabilities



- 35 dBZ contour from truth simulation shown by black line
- Spatial coverage is too small during the Control case
- Assimilation of radar obs led to some improvements
- Much larger positive impact when satellite observations were assimilated, with better coverage across eastern Kansas and northern Missouri
- Results show that radar and satellite observations provide complementary information about the atmospheric state

#### References

Cintineo, R., J. A. Otkin, T. A. Jones, S. Koch, L. Wicker, and D. Stensrud, 2015: Assimilation of GOES-R ABI satellite and WSR-88D radar observations during a convection-resolving OSSE. Accepted for publication in *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 

Jones, T. A., J. A. Otkin, D. J. Stensrud, and K. Knopfmeier, 2014: Forecast evaluation of an Observing System Simulation Experiment assimilating both radar and satellite data. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **142**, 107-124.

Jones, T. A., J. A. Otkin, D. J. Stensrud, and K. Knopfmeier, 2013: Assimilation of simulated GOES-R satellite radiances and WSR-88D Doppler radar reflectivity and velocity using an Observing System Simulation Experiment. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **141**, 3273-3299.

Otkin, J. A., 2012: Assimilation of water vapor sensitive infrared brightness temperature observations during a high impact weather event. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D19203, doi:10.1029/2012JD017568.

Otkin, J. A., 2012: Assessing the impact of the covariance localization radius when assimilating infrared brightness temperature observations using an ensemble Kalman filter. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **140**, 543-561.

Otkin, J. A., 2010: Clear and cloudy-sky infrared brightness temperature assimilation using an ensemble Kalman filter. *J. Geophys Res.*, **115**, D19207, doi:10.1029/2009JD013759.