Towards the assimilation of all-sky infrared radiances of Himawari-8

Kozo Okamoto^{1,2}

H. Ishimoto¹, M. Kunii^{1,2}, M. Otsuka^{1,2}, S. Yokota¹, H. Seko^{1,2}, and Y. Sawada²



1: JMA/MRI, 2: RIKEN/AICS



ISDA2016, Reading, UK 18-22 July, 2016

Outline



- 1. Background
- 2. OB-FG statistics
- 3. Observation error
- 4. Preliminary assimilation experiments
- 5. Summary and plans

1. Background



- Cloud/rain-affected satellite data have been underused especially for infrared (IR) radiances
 - Complicated cloud/rain process in NWP and RT models, Non-linearity, Non-Gaussianity,,,
- IR radiances
 - Mostly assimilated in clear-sky condition, and in overcast conditions at some operational centers
 - Issues :: miss meteorologically important info, suffer from unexpected cloud contamination, cause dry bias,,,
 - All-sky MW radiance assimilation has been successfully implemented
 - Provide higher temporal/horizontal/vertical information, despite limited availability, compared with MW radiances
- Objective : Improve T/Q/W analysis and forecast by effectively assimilating all-sky IR radiance
 - Especially for Himawari-8

Himawari-8/AHI



	Himawari-8,9/AHI					
	Band	Wavelength [µm]	Spatial Resolution			
)	1	0.43 - 0.48	1km			
)	2	0.50 - 0.52	1km			
3	3	0.63 - 0.66	0.5km			
	4	0.85 - 0.87	1km			
for	5	1.60 - 1.62	2km			
	6	2.25 - 2.27	2km			
pan	7	3.74 - 3.96	2km			
	8	6.06 - 6.43	2km			
	9	6.89 - 7.01	2km			
	10	7.26 - 7.43	2km			
	11	8.44 - 8.76	2km			
	12	9.54 - 9.72	2km			
	13	10.3 - 10.6	2km			
	14	11.1- 11.3	2km			
	15	122-125	2km			

13.2 - 13.4

2km

16

- Launched in Oct. 7 2014
 - Start the operation in Jul. 7, 2015
 - Geo-sat after MTSAT2
 - Himawari-9 to be launched in 2016
- Advanced Himawari Imager (AHI)
 - 16 bands, including 3 VIS, 3 NIR, 3 humidiy, 3 window, and 1 CO2
 - 1.0/0.5 km for VIS and NIR, 2.0 km for IR and NIR
 - 10 min. for full disk, 2.5 min. for Japan regions and target regions

2. OB-FG statistics



Models



JMA-NHM (Non-hydrostatic model)

- Operational meso-scale model of JMA since 2004 (Saito et al. 2006)
- Cloud microphysics
 - Explicit three-ice bulk scheme based on Lin et al. (1983)

	Cloud water	Cloud ice	Rain	Snow	Graupel
Mix.ratio	Qc	Qi	Qr	Qs	Qg
Num.denstiy		Ni			
DSD	Mono-disperse		Exponential		

5km, L50, 461x481 grids, Japan region

RTTOV v11.3

- Cloud scattering (Matricaldi 2005) : scaling approximation (Fu et al. 1999), cloud fraction by stream method
- Input: 6-h forecast from JMA-NHM
 - □ Profiles of temperature, humidity, Liquid cloud, ice cloud, cloud fraction
 - Ice cloud : the sum of ice, snow and graupel
 - Cloud fraction is estimated by Tompkins and Janiskova (2004)

Comparison of AHI obs and simulation



- Super-ob (2x2 pixels average)
 - For better representation of 5km model
- Remove highly inhomogeneous scenes (inhomogeneity-QC)
 - Standard deviation (SD) in super-ob (SDso) at band 13 > 2.0 K
 - → Justify making IR super-ob and mitigate difficulty in partial cloud effect in RT calculation
 - SDso is estimated from original pixels inside super-ob
- Thinned in 20 km box (4 model grids)
- The comparison was made for four different meteorological conditions
 - Result in a stationary rain band case is only shown : 00 UTC Sep 7~ 18 UTC Sep 9, 2015, every 6-h

OB and FG at band13 (10.4 µm)



- Insufficient simulation for low BT
- CRTM can generate more low BT but occasionally excessive



OB vs FG, OB vs OB-FG with RTTOV



Cloud effect and QC

- A parameter to represent cloud effect on radiance : Ca
 - Ca = 0.5*(|FG-FGclr|+|OB-FGclr|), FGclr=clear-sky FG
 - OB-FG variability monotonically increases with Ca
 - → predict (cloud-dependent) OB-FG SD using Ca
 - Details in Okamoto et. al. (2014, QJRMS)
- 2 additional QCs
 - Too low TB (OB<230K)</p>
 - Large OB-FG with Clouddependent criteria



Normalized OB-FG PDF





3. Observation error



Observation error statistics for all-sky rad



- Estimate obs error at humidity bands based on Desroziers diagnostics
- Obs error SD = 1.7 K (band8), 1.9 K (9) and 2.5 K (10)
- Distance at corr<0.2 = 150 km (bands 9 & 10), 250km (8)</p>
- Strong spectral correlation



Comparison of cloudy and clear-sky rad



Separate cloudy (Ca>0.5) and clear-sky (Ca<0.5)</p>

Larger SD and spatial/spectral correlation in cloudy conditions

- Obs error SD= 0.4,0.4,0.4(clear-sky) → 1.9,2.3,3.0(cloudy) at bands 8,9,10
- Dist(corr<0.2)= 60 km (clear-sky) → 180 km (cloudy) at band 9</p>
- Corr(band8-10)= 0.03 (clear-sky) \rightarrow 0.60 (cloudy)

Consistent to all-sky MW 85GHz statistics (Bormann et al. 2011)



Cloud-dependent obs error





- **r** = r_0 for Ca<Ca₀
 - r_1 for Ca>Ca₁
 - $r_0 + (r_1 r_0)^* (Ca_1 Ca) / (Ca_1 Ca_0)$ for others
 - r₀, r₁: min,max error, estimated from Desroziers diagnostics → r₀=0.4K, r₁=6.3K
- Non-diagonal component will be included in future





4. Preliminary assimilation experiments



Experiment Design

- NHM-Letkf (Kunii 2014)
 - 15km, 50 members, 273x221 grids
 - 6-h cycle with 1-h slot to ingest observations
 - Inflation : RTPS (relaxation-to-prior spread)
 - Localization: 200 km and 0.2 InP coordinates
- Period: 06 UTC 4 ~ 18 UTC 10 Sep, 2015
- Observations
 - CNTL: conventional data
 - RAOB, SYNOP, ship, aircraft, Wind Profiler, Doppler Radar, GPS ground, Atmospheric Motion Vector from MTSAT-2
 - TEST: CNTL + all-sky TB of AHI
- AHI all-sky TB
 - Super-ob (6x6 pixels)
 - Band 9 (6.9µm), Thinning 150km
 - Cloud dependent obs error (0.4~6.3K)
 - 3 QC, over sea
 - No bias correction (future work!)





Single Obs Experiment (1/2)



- OB=231.712, FG=248.018 at 142E, 24N ← FG underestimates cloud
- AN(w/oAHI)=247.155, AN(wAHI)=240.091
- Rad assimilation increases humidity and snow at 7~9 km around obs



Single Obs Experiment (2/2)



- OB=249.288, FG=243.820 at 138E,26N ← FG overestimate cloud
- AN(w/oAHI)=243.860, AN(wAHI)=251.116
- Rad assimilation reduces humidity and snow



FG & AN difference along the crosssection at 24 NC

Ratio of FG RMSE against AHI rad



- RMSE_{TEST}/RMSE_{CNTL} < 1.0 : better fitting of FG</p>
- → Improve FG fitting to rad obs at not only band 9 but other bands Time sequence of SDtest/SDcntl



FG RMSE against RH and wind



- 7 -10 SeptemberImprovement in V200 and RH500
- Degradation in RH850 and V in mid Troposphere



Verification of Rain and Psea forecast



 30-h forecast from 12 UTC 8 Sep, 2015

- rain[(mm/3h),Psea (hPa)
- TEST (AHI with cloud-dep obs err) better predicts rainband
- But the result is not robust





5. Summary and plans



- Models (JMA-NHM and/or RTTOV) significantly underestimate low BT, resulting in negative OB-FG bias.
- Develop 3 QCs to alleviate the discrepancy btw model and obs
 - Inhomogeneity QC, low BT QC, and cloud-dependent gross error QC
- Estimate obs error and its spatial/spectral correlation
 - Determine thinning distance and cloud-dependent obs error
 - Cloudy obs error (variance and correlation) is larger than clear-sky one
- Preliminary assimilation experiments
 - Assimilate rad at only band (at the moment)
 - Agreement of FG to obs is better for rad at IR bands, but mixed for RAOB and aircraft.
 - Better precipitation forecast can be found, but the result is not robust at the moment
- Plans
 - Develop bias correction
 - Redesign assimilation setup: longer period, cycle period, resolution,,,
 - Compare impacts of clear-sky radiance assimilation
 - Apply for the operational global data assimilation system (4D-Var)



OB and FG distribution





30-h forecast from 12 UTC 8 Sep, 2015



