

HEIGHT CORRECTION FOR THE ASSIMILATION OF ATMOSPHERIC MOTION **VECTORS BASED ON SATELLITE LIDAR OBSERVATIONS FROM CALIPSO**

Martin Weissmann¹, Kathrin Folger¹, Harald Anlauf² and Alexander Cress²

1) Hans-Ertel Centre for Weather Research, Data Assimilation Branch, LMU München, Germany 2) Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany

BACKGROUND

Atmospheric Motion Vectors (AMVs) are derived by tracking clouds or water vapor structures in consecutive satellite images AMVs are the only wind information in many regions of the globe and are thus an essential ingredient for NWP

APPROACH

Correct the pressure heights of Meteosat-AMVs with collocated spaceborne CALIPSO lidar observations (height reassignment) Derive height bias correction functions based on mean differences between AMV heights and lidar cloud tops (height bias correction)

METHOD AND DATA	



- Vertical height assignment issues are responsible for up to 70% of the total AMV error
- Lidars can provide accurate information on cloud top heights
- Treat AMVs winds as winds in vertical layers
- Evaluate benefit of applying height bias correction and layer-treatment in ICON experiments

HEIGHT REASSIGNMENT



- Differences of AMV winds and layer-averaged operational 3h GME forecasts
- Lowest \bullet differences for ~120 hPa layers beneath CALIPSO cloud tops
- The CALIPSO-

ICON EXPERIMENTS (preliminary)



Reduced differences of AMV winds and 3h ICON forecasts

Reduction of forecast error through height bias correction, but results only based on 1-week experiment

based height reassignment reduces wind differences by about 10-15% Layer-treatment

reduces current slow-bias of AMVs



HEIGHT BIAS CORRECTION direct height operationa bias AMV height correction correction (a) HIGH LEVEL (2144) (b) LOW LEVEL (5266) discrete level layer average discrete level layer average — IR VIS 2.² [s/ш] [s/u] 5.3 – wv 300 VRMS 2.0 VRMS 500 not | used 600 700 800 [m/s] 900 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 0.0 mean AMV adjustment [hPa] -0.5 -0.Example of height

LONG-TERM EVALUATION (preliminary)

Monthly mean differences of IR-AMVs









-1.0												wing	-1	0											
-1.5 -	AMV oper	Calipso	30days	30days hemi	10days	AMV on or	AIMV OPER	Calipso	30days	30days hemi	10days	-	-1		AMV oper	Calipso	30days	30days hemi	10days	AMV oper	Calipso	30days	30days hemi	10days	-

- The height bias correction reaches about half of the reduction of wind differences of the height reassignment
- The height bias correction can be applied to all AMVs, it's simple to implement • in NWP systems (no need for real-time CALIPSO data) and therefore it poses a promising approach for operational NWP

- Fairly systematic patterns of differences
- Little indication of seasonal cycle \bullet
- Some indication of systematic geographic differences (less clear for other channels/heights)

OUTLOOK

- Evaluation of differences longer period (2015) •
- Comparison to ECMWF level-of-best-fit statistics
- Longer assimilation experiments •

REFERENCES

- Folger, K. and M. Weissmann, 2016: Lidar-based height correction for the assimilation of atmospheric motion vectors. J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., accepted.
- Folger, K. and M. Weissmann, 2014: Height correction of atmospheric motion vectors using satellite lidar observations from CALIPSO. J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 53, 1809–1819.